

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	8	14
15	11	1	2

Team Problems

Problems 1&2

Copyright © 2007, Albert R. Meyer. All rights reserved.

May 16, 2007

lec 14W.1

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	8	14
15	11	1	2

Mathematics for Computer Science

MIT 6.042J/18.062J

Deviation of Repeated Trials

Copyright © 2007, Albert R. Meyer. All rights reserved.

May 16, 2007

lec 14W.2

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	8	14
15	11	1	2

Jacob D. Bernoulli (1659 - 1705)

Even the stupidest man —by some instinct of nature *per se* and by no previous instruction (this is truly amazing) —knows for sure that the more observations ...that are taken, the less the danger will be of straying from the mark.

---*Ars Conjectandi* (The Art of Guessing), 1713*

*taken from Grinstead & Snell,
http://www.dartmouth.edu/~chance/teaching_aids/books_articles/probability_book/book.html
Introduction to Probability, American Mathematical Society, p. 310.

Copyright © 2007, Albert R. Meyer. All rights reserved.

May 16, 2007

lec 14W.3

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	8	14
15	11	1	2

Jacob D. Bernoulli (1659 - 1705)

It certainly remains to be inquired whether after the number of observations has been increased, the probability...of obtaining the true ratio...finally exceeds any given degree of certainty; or whether the problem has, so to speak, its own asymptote---that is, whether some degree of certainty is given which one can never exceed.

Copyright © 2007, Albert R. Meyer. All rights reserved.

May 16, 2007

lec 14W.4

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	8	14
15	11	1	2

Repeated Trials

Random variable R with mean μ
 n independent observations of R
 R_1, \dots, R_n

Copyright © 2007, Albert R. Meyer. All rights reserved.

May 16, 2007

lec 14W.5

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	8	14
15	11	1	2

Repeated Trials

take average:

$$A_n ::= \frac{R_1 + \dots + R_n}{n}$$

close to 'true ratio' with prob. ?

$$\Pr\{|A_n - \mu| \leq x\} ?$$

as close as $x > 0$

Copyright © 2007, Albert R. Meyer. All rights reserved.

May 16, 2007

lec 14W.6

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	2	8	14
15	4	11	1

Repeated Trials

$$\Pr\{|A_n - \mu| \leq x\}$$

Even 'stupidest man' knows this prob.
gets bigger as n gets bigger
—but *how big?*

Does it "exceed... any given degree of
certainty"?

That is, does it **approach 1**?

Copyright © 2007, Albert R. Meyer. All rights reserved.

May 16, 2007

lec 14W.7

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	2	8	14
15	4	11	1

Weak Law of Large Numbers

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr\{|A_n - \mu| \leq x\} = 1$$

YES

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr\{|A_n - \mu| > x\} = 0$$

Copyright © 2007, Albert R. Meyer. All rights reserved.

May 16, 2007

lec 14W.8

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	2	8	14
15	4	11	1

Jacob D. Bernoulli (1659 - 1705)

Therefore, this is the problem which I
now set forth and make known after I
have pondered over it for twenty years.
Both its novelty and its very great
usefulness, coupled with its just as
great difficulty, can exceed in
weight and value all the remaining
chapters of this thesis.

Copyright © 2007, Albert R. Meyer. All rights reserved.

May 16, 2007

lec 14W.9

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	2	8	14
15	4	11	1

Jacob D. Bernoulli (1659 - 1705)



Copyright © 2007, Albert R. Meyer. All rights reserved.

May 16, 2007

lec 14W.10

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	2	8	14
15	4	11	1

Weak Law of Large Numbers

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr\{|A_n - \mu| > x\} = 0$$

Will be an easy Corollary of Chebyshev
and properties of variance.

Copyright © 2007, Albert R. Meyer. All rights reserved.

May 16, 2007

lec 14W.11

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	2	8	14
15	4	11	1

Repeated Trials

$$\begin{aligned} E[A_n] &= E\left[\frac{R_1 + \cdots + R_n}{n}\right] \\ &= \frac{E[R_1] + \cdots + E[R_n]}{n} \\ &= \frac{n\mu}{n} = \mu \end{aligned}$$

Copyright © 2007, Albert R. Meyer. All rights reserved.

May 16, 2007

lec 14W.12

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	8	14
15	11	16	2

Repeated Trials

by Chebyshev:

$$\Pr\{|A_n - \mu| > x\} \leq \frac{\text{Var}[A_n]}{x^2}$$

so need only show
 $\text{Var}[A_n] \rightarrow 0$

Copyright © 2007, Albert R. Meyer. All rights reserved.

May 16, 2007

lec 14W.13

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	8	14
15	11	16	2

Repeated Trials

what is $\text{Var}[A_n]$?

let $\sigma^2 ::= \text{Var}[R]$

Copyright © 2007, Albert R. Meyer. All rights reserved.

May 16, 2007

lec 14W.14

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	8	14
15	11	16	2

Repeated Trials

$\text{Var}[A_n]$

$$= \text{Var}[(R_1 + \dots + R_n) / n]$$

$$= (\text{Var}[R_1] + \dots + \text{Var}[R_n]) / n^2$$

$$= \frac{n\sigma^2}{n^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

$\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

QED

Copyright © 2007, Albert R. Meyer. All rights reserved.

May 16, 2007

lec 14W.15

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	8	14
15	11	16	2

Analysis of the Proof

proof only used

- R_1, \dots, R_n have same finite mean, μ
- and finite variance, σ^2
- and variances add:

$$\text{Var}[R_1 + \dots + R_n]$$

$$= \text{Var}[R_1] + \dots + \text{Var}[R_n]$$

which follows from *pairwise* independence

Copyright © 2007, Albert R. Meyer. All rights reserved.

May 16, 2007

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	8	14
15	11	16	2

Pairwise Independent Sampling

Let R_1, \dots, R_n be pairwise independent random vars with the same finite mean, μ , and variance, σ^2 . Let

$A_n ::= (R_1 + \dots + R_n) / n$. Then

$$\Pr\{|A_n - \mu| > x\} \leq \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\sigma}{x}\right)^2$$

Copyright © 2007, Albert R. Meyer. All rights reserved.

May 16, 2007

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	8	14
15	11	16	2

Pairwise Independent Sampling

The punchline:

we now know how big a sample is needed to estimate the mean of any* random variable to within any* desired tolerance and to any* degree of confidence.

* $\text{Var}[\text{rand. var}] < \infty$, tolerance > 0 , confidence $< 100\%$

Copyright © 2007, Albert R. Meyer. All rights reserved.

May 16, 2007

6	12	7
18	16	5
9	4	14
15	11	3

Team Problems

Problems 3&4

Copyright ©2007, Albert R. Meyer. All rights reserved.

May 16, 2007

lec 14W.19