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#### Abstract

We describe in detail a novel data structure for $d$-dimensional triangulations. In an arbitrary $d$-dimension triangulation, there are $d$ ! ways in which a specific facet of an simplex can be glued to a specific facet of another simplex. Therefore, in data structures for general $d$-dimensional triangulations, this information must be encoded using $\left\lceil\log _{2}(d!)\right\rceil$ bits for each adjacent pair of simplices. We study a special class of triangulations, called the colored triangulations, in which there is a only one way two simplices can share a specific facet. The gem data structure, described here, makes use of this fact to greatly simplify the repertoire of elementary topological operators.




## SUMMARY

- Triangulations and their data structures
- The gem data structure.
- Relation to other structures.
- Subdivision schemes for gems.
- Turning a triangulation into a gem.
- Conclusions and future work.


## Triangulations

Triangulation: set of $d$-simplices, glued by facets.


## Triangulation data structures

## Pointer data structures:

- One record per cell.
- One pointer per facet, to adjacent cell.


Problem: which pointer is the right one?

- Check all links (D. T. Lee \& B. J. Schachter 1980 [7]).
- Add $\left\lceil\log _{2}((d+1)!)\right\rceil$ permutation bits per link
(J. R. Shewchuck 1996 [10], J.-D. Boisonnat \& al. 2002 [1], ...)


## Colored triangulations

Colored $d$-dimensional triangulation:

- Vertices are labeled with $d$ "colors" $0,1, \ldots, d$.
- Each element (simplex) has at most one vertex of each color.



## Gems (1)

Gem $=$ the dual graph of a colored triangulation:


A regular graph, edge-colored with colors $0,1, \ldots, d$.
(M. Ferri 1976 [5], S. Lins 1982 [9].


## Data structure

The gem data structure:

$\operatorname{Step}(a, i)=\phi_{i}(a)=$ follow pointer $i$ of node $a$.

## Gem structure operations: Makenode

Makenode() creates an unattached simplex:
a = MakeNode();
b = MakeNode();
c = MakeNode();
d = MakeNode();
e = MakeNode();



## Gem structure operations: Swap

$\operatorname{Swap}(a, b, i)$ exchanges the $i$-pointers of $a$ and $b$ :


Unsafe - to be used by authorized personnel only!

## Gem structure operations: Splice

Splice $(a, b, i)$ exchanges four pointers of color $i$ :

Splice $(v, w, i)$ :
$v^{\prime} \leftarrow \phi_{i}(v) ;$
$w^{\prime} \leftarrow \phi_{i}(w)$;
Swap ( $v^{\prime}, w^{\prime}, \mathrm{i}$ );
Swap ( $v, w, i$ ).

Splice $(v, w, 0)$ :


Safe for any parameters!

## Barycentric subdivision

Barycentric gems and representation of general maps:

- $n$-G-maps (P. Lienhardt 1989 [8]).
- Cell-tuple structure (E. Brisson 1989 [2]).



## Relationship to quad-edge structure (1)

Quad-edge data structure for 2D maps

- L. J. Guibas and J. Stolfi 1985 [6].



## Relationship to quad-edge structure (2)

The barycentric gem partitions into ( 0,2 )-colored squares:



## Relationship to facet-edge structure (1)

Facet-edge data structure for 3D maps

- D. P. Dobkin and M. J. Laszlo 1987 [4].


The barycentric gem partitions into (0,3)-colored squares:



## Generalizing quad-edge/facet-edge

Barycentric gem property (Lienhardt's $n$-G-map axiom 2 [8]):

$$
\phi_{i} \phi_{j}=\phi_{j} \phi_{i} \quad \text { if }|i-j| \geq 2
$$

Generalizes quad-edge/facet-edge for $d$ dimensions!
Example for $d=7$ :

- edges colored $0,2,5,7$ comprise disjoint 4 -cubes.
- store 16 nodes as 16 parts of same record.
- add 4 bits per pointer to identify which part.
- $\phi_{0}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{5}, \phi_{7}$ need no pointers.
- save a few more pointers using $\phi_{6}=\phi_{2} \phi_{6} \phi_{2}$.
- structure supports duality.


## Applications: True convex hull (1)

Application of barycentric gems ( $n$-G-maps, cell-tuple): True exact convex hull, with non-simplicial facets. Gift-wrapping algorithm (D. R. Chand \& S. S. Kapur 1970 [3]).



Gems need not be barycentric subdivisions:


The free border of a gem need not be of color $d$ :


## Applications: Adaptive subdivision (1)

Application of non-barycentric gems: Approximation by adaptive triangular mesh.


## Applications: Adaptive subdivision (2)

Most popular subdivision schemes don't work:


## Applications: Adaptive subdivision (3)

Local colored refinement schemes do exist:



## Applications: Adaptive subdivision (5)

Can be done with minimum-angle guarantee:



## Applications: Colorizing by splitting (2)

Turning an arbitrary triangulation into colored one. Barycentric: easy but expensive, $n_{\mathrm{F}} \rightarrow 6 n_{\mathrm{F}}$. Moutinho's algorithm: $n_{\mathrm{F}} \rightarrow \leq 2 n_{\mathrm{F}}$ :


## Applications: Colorizing by splitting (2)



Sometimes splits a triangle in 2 to 6 pieces.
On average each triangle becomes at most 2 , usually less.
If the triangulations is 3-colorable, does not split.

## Applications: Colorizing by splitting (3)

Border-sensitive shelling:


## Conclusions

Triangulations: barycentric $\subset$ colored $\subset$ general.

Disadvantages of the gem data structure:

- Restricted triangulations (e.g. no Delaunay).
- Needs care in creation, or a splitting step.
- Restricted operations (gluing, subdivision).
- More wasteful than quad-edge or facet-edge for maps.


## Conclusions

Advantages of the gem data structure:

- Extends n-G-maps and cell-tuple:
- Non-barycentric triangulations.
- Arbitrary free borders.
- Very simple data structure and topological operators.
- Simplified connection to geometry.
- Generalized quad-edge/facet-edge structures.
- Residues are gems too.
- Poly-ality ( $d$ ! views) vs. duality (2 views).


## Further work

Future work and open problems:

- Efficient adaptive subdivision in $d \geq 3$ dimensions.
- Colorizing by frugal splitting in $d \geq 3$ dimensions.
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