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Abstract

An edge-coloring of a graph is an assignment of colors to its edges such that no adjacent edges have the same color. A split graph is a graph whose vertex set admits a partition into a stable set and a clique. Split graphs have been introduced by Földes and Hammer [4] and it is a well-studied class of graphs. However, the problem of deciding the chromatic index of any split graph remains unsolved. Chen, Fu, and Ko [1] use a latin square to color any split graph with odd maximum degree. In this work, we also use a latin square to color some split graphs with even maximum degree and we show that these graphs are Class 1.

1 Introduction

An edge-coloring of $G$ is an assignment of one color to each edge of $G$ such that no adjacent edges have the same color. The chromatic index, $\chi'(G)$, is the minimum number of colors for which $G$ has an edge-coloring.

An easy lower bound for the chromatic index is the maximum vertex degree $\Delta$. A celebrated theorem by Vizing [12] states that, for a simple graph, the chromatic index is at most $\Delta + 1$. Graphs whose chromatic index equals the maximum degree are said to be Class 1; graphs whose chromatic index exceeds the maximum degree by one are said to be Class 2. Despite the restriction imposed by Vizing, it is NP-complete to determine, in general, if a graph is Class 1 [7]. There are not many graph classes for which the problem is known to be polynomial; see [6, 8, 10] for examples. The complexity of the problem is open for very structured classes of graphs such as cographs, proper interval graphs and split graphs.

A graph $G$ satisfying the inequality $|E(G)| > \Delta(G) \left\lfloor \frac{|V(G)|}{2} \right\rfloor$, is said to be an overfull graph. A graph $G$ is subgraph-overfull when it has an overfull subgraph $H$ with $\Delta(H) = \Delta(G)$ [5]. When the overfull subgraph $H$ can be chosen to be a neighborhood of a vertex of degree $\Delta(G)$, we say that $G$ is neighborhood-overfull [3]. Overfull, subgraph-overfull, and neighborhood-overfull graphs are in Class 2.

A split graph is a graph whose vertex set admits a partition into a stable set and a clique. Split graphs is a well-studied class of graphs for which most combinatorial problems are solved [2, 9, 11]. It has been shown that every odd maximum degree split graph is
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Class 1 [1] and that every subgraph-overfull split graph is in fact neighborhood-overfull [3]. It has been conjectured that every Class 2 split graph is neighborhood-overfull [3]. The validity of this conjecture implies that the edge-coloring problem for split graphs is in P. The goal of this paper is to investigate this conjecture by giving another positive evidence for its validity. We describe a new subset of split graphs with even maximum degree that is Class 1. Using latin squares, we construct a polynomial edge-coloring for these graphs.

2 Theoretical framework

In this paper, \( G \) denotes a simple, finite, undirected and connected graph with vertex set \( V(G) \) and edge set \( E(G) \). Write \( n = |V(G)| \) and \( m = |E(G)| \). For any \( v \) in \( V(G) \), the set of vertices adjacent to \( v \) is denoted by \( N(v) \) and \( N[v] = \{v\} \cup N(v) \). The degree of a vertex \( v \) is \( d_G(v) = |N(v)| \). The maximum degree of \( G \) is, then, \( \Delta(G) = \max_{v \in V(G)} \{d_G(v)\} \). When there is no ambiguity, we remove the symbol \( G \) from the notations. A clique is a set of pairwise adjacent vertices of a graph. A maximal clique is a clique that is not properly contained in any other clique. A stable set is a set of pairwise non adjacent vertices. A subgraph of \( G \) is a graph \( H \) with \( V(H) \subseteq V(G) \) and \( E(H) \subseteq E(G) \). For \( X \subseteq V(G) \), denote by \( G[X] \) the subgraph induced by \( X \), that is, \( V(G[X]) = X \) and \( E(G[X]) \) consists of those edges of \( E(G) \) having both ends in \( X \). Let \( D \subseteq E(G) \). The subgraph induced by \( D \) is the subgraph \( H \) with \( E(H) = D \) and \( V(H) \) is the set of vertices such that each one of them has at least one edge of \( D \) incident to it.

In order to study edge-coloring of split graphs, the following results are useful tools. We recall them from [1] for the reader’s convenience.

A color diagram \( \mathcal{C} \) is a sequence of color arrays \( (C_1, \ldots, C_k) \), where each color array \( C_i = [c_{i,1}, \ldots, c_{i,d_i}] \), \( 1 \leq i \leq k \), consists of distinct colors. A color diagram \( \mathcal{C} \) is monotonic if the color \( c_{i,j} \) occurs at most \( d_i - j \) times in \( C_1, \ldots, C_{i-1} \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq k \) and \( 1 \leq j \leq d_i \).

A monotonic color diagram can be used to provide an edge-coloring for a bipartite graph. Let \( B \) be a bipartite graph with bipartition \( \{U,V\} \). Consider \( |U| = k \) and \( U = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k\} \). For each vertex \( u_i \in U \), let \( C_{u_i} \) be a set of \( d_B(u_i) \) distinct colors. Consider the set \( \mathcal{C} = (C_{u_1}, \ldots, C_{u_k}) \) and suppose that \( \mathcal{C} \) is a monotonic color diagram. In this case, it is possible to color the edges of \( B \) using the set of colors \( C_{u_i} = [c_{i,1}, \ldots, c_{i,d_B(u_i)}] \) to color the edges incident to \( u_i \), for each \( u_i \in U \). The algorithm is a greedy one. It considers the vertices of \( U \) ordered according to \( \mathcal{C} \) and, for each \( u_i \), using consecutively the colors in \( C_{u_i} \) it choose a neighbor of \( u_i \) where does not incide an edge with color \( c_{i,j} \) and colors this edge with the color \( c_{i,j} \). Since \( \mathcal{C} \) is a monotonic color diagram, when it is coloring an edge of \( u_i \) and is considering the color \( c_{i,j} \), this color has already occurred at most \( d_B(u_i) - j \) times in \( C_{u_1}, \ldots, C_{u_{i-1}} \). But there are at least \( d_B(u_i) - j + 1 \) not colored edges incident to \( u_i \). Then there is at least one edge incident to \( u_i \) which can be colored with \( c_{i,j} \). This result is in the following lemma and we use it in our study of edge-coloring of split graphs.

**Lemma 1 [1]** Let \( B \) be a bipartite graph with bipartition \( \{U,V\} \). Let \( \mathcal{C} = (C_{u_1}, \ldots, C_{u_k}) \) be a monotonic color diagram with each \( C_{u_i} \) defined as above, then \( B \) has an edge-coloring that uses the colors of \( C_{u_i}, \ u_i \in U \).
A $k \times k$-matrix with entries from $\{0, \ldots, k-1\}$ is called \textit{latin square of order $k$} if every element of $\{0, \ldots, k-1\}$ appears in each row and column exactly once. A latin square $M = [m_{i,j}]$ is \textit{commutative} if $m_{i,j} = m_{j,i}$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq k-1$ and it is \textit{idempotent} if $m_{i,i} = i$, for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$.

Consider the $k \times k$-matrix, $M = [m_{i,j}]$, $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ and $0 \leq j \leq k-1$, defined as following.

$$m_{i,j} = (i + j) \pmod{k} \quad (1)$$

Note that $M$ is a commutative latin square and it is possible to construct monotonic color diagrams, using the entries of the lines of $M$ as a sequence of color arrays. For instance, it is easy to see that, for a fixed $j$, $0 \leq j \leq k-1$, the sequence $C = (C_0, \ldots, C_j)$, where $C_i = [m_{i,j}, m_{i,j+1}, \ldots, m_{i,k-1}]$, $0 \leq i \leq j$, is a monotonic color diagram.

From now on, $G$ is a split graph with a partition $\{Q, S\}$, where $Q$ is a maximal clique and $S$ is a stable set. Note that $Q$ is also a maximum clique of $G$. To every split graph $G$ we shall associate the bipartite graph $B$ obtained from $G$ by removing all edges of the subgraph of $G$ induced by $Q$. Let $d(Q)$ be the maximum degree of vertices of $Q$ in the bipartite graph $B$, i.e., $d(Q) = \max_{v \in Q} d_B(v)$. Then $\Delta(G) = |Q| - 1 + d(Q)$.

Chen, Fu and Ko [1], use an odd order idempotent commutative latin square to show that an odd maximum degree split graph is Class 1. Since it is known that there is an idempotent commutative latin square of order $n$ if, only if, $n$ is odd, this technique could not be directly applied on split graphs with even maximum degree.

In order to provide an edge-coloring with $\Delta(G)$ colors for some split graphs when $\Delta(G)$ is even, we consider the matrix $M$ defined in (1). From now on, the entries of a matrix are called colors. The matrix $M$ is a commutative latin square of order $\Delta(G) - 1$, so we need a new color. We replace some entries of $M$ with the new color, as described in Algorithm ColorDiagrams. (The effect of these replacements will be the coloring of a selected set of independent edges of $G[Q]$ with the new color.) Then, we consider a vertex $v$ in $S$ with degree at least $\frac{|Q|}{2}$ and we label the vertices of $Q$ as $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{|Q|}$ such that $u_i$ is adjacent to $v$, $0 \leq i \leq d_G(v)$. Hence, we use the color $a_{i,i}$ of the submatrix $A = [a_{i,j}]$ formed by the first $|Q|$ rows and columns of $M$ to color the edge $(v, u_i)$, $0 \leq i \leq d_G(v)$. After, we use the color $a_{i,j}$ to color the edge $(u_i, u_j)$ of the subgraph of $G$ induced by $Q$, $0 \leq i, j \leq |Q|$. Now, it remains to color at most $d(Q) - 1$ edges of $B$ that are incident to $u_i$, for each $u_i$, $1 \leq i \leq d_B(v)$, and at most $d(Q)$ edges of $B$ incident to $u_i$, for each $u_i$, $d_B(v) < u_i \leq |Q|$. We use the monotonic color diagram $C$ produced by our algorithm to color these edges. The constraints of Theorem 4 are given by this strategy. The algorithm used in our approach is given in the next section.

3 A split graph subset that is not neighborhood-overfull

In this section we describe a subset of split graphs that is Class 1. For this, we present the Algorithm ColorDiagrams that constructs a latin square $M$ and derives from it a matrix $A$ and a sequence of colors arrays $C$. If a split graph $G$ has some special conditions, the sequence $C$ is a color diagram. In this case, we can perform an edge-coloring of $G$ with $\Delta$ colors using the matrix $A$ and the color diagram $C$ returned by the algorithm.
Algorithm ColorDiagrams($\Delta$, $|Q|$, $d(v)$)

**Input:** The positive integers $\Delta \geq 3$, $|Q| < \Delta$, and $d(v) < |Q|$.

Construct a $(\Delta - 1) \times (\Delta - 1)$-matrix $M$ where

$$m_{i,j} = (i + j) \pmod{\Delta - 1}.$$  

Construct a sequence $C = (C_0, \ldots, C_{|Q| - 1})$, where

$$C_i = [m_{i,|Q|}, \ldots, m_{i,|Q| - 2}], 0 \leq i < |Q|.$$  

Add $m_{i,j}$ of $M$ as the first element of $C_i$, $d(v) \leq i < |Q|$.

Add $\Delta - 1$ as the first element of $C_i$, $0 \leq i < |Q|$.

Construct a matrix $A_{|Q|,|Q|}$, where $a_{i,j} = m_{i,j}$, $0 \leq i, j < |Q|$.

$l \leftarrow 0$; $l' \leftarrow |Q| - 1$; $x \leftarrow -1$; $c \leftarrow |Q| + x$;

- If $c$ is odd $\text{count} \leftarrow \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta - |Q| - x - 1}{2} \right\rfloor$;
- If $c$ is even $\text{count} \leftarrow \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta - |Q| - x - 2}{2} \right\rfloor$;

While ($l < l'$) and ($c < \Delta - 2$) do

- Replace the color $c$ from $a_{l,l'}$ and $a_{l',l}$ of $A$ by $\Delta - 1$;
- Replace the color $\Delta - 1$ of $C_l$ and $C_{l'}$ by $c$;
- $l \leftarrow l + 1$; $l' \leftarrow l' - 1$; $\text{count} \leftarrow \text{count} - 1$;
- if $\text{count} = 0$ then
  - $x \leftarrow x + 1$; $c \leftarrow |Q| + x$;
  - if $c$ is odd $\text{count} \leftarrow \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta - |Q| - x - 1}{2} \right\rfloor$;
  - if $c$ is even $\text{count} \leftarrow \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta - |Q| - x - 2}{2} \right\rfloor$;
  - $l \leftarrow l + 1$;

Return($A$, $C$).

The following results will be used in Theorem 4. The Lemma 2 shows some properties of the matrix $A$ returned by the Algorithm ColorDiagrams and the Lemma 3 exhibits the conditions on $\Delta$, $|Q|$, and $d(v)$ of a split graph $G$ which are necessary to get $C$ as a color diagram.

**Lemma 2** The matrix $A$ returned by Algorithm ColorDiagrams is commutative, its elements are from $\{0, \ldots, \Delta - 1\}$, and it has pairwise distinct elements in each line and column. Moreover, if $\Delta$ is even, the elements of the main diagonal of $A$ are pairwise distinct.

**Proof.** The algorithm constructs the matrix $A$ using the first $|Q|$ lines and the first $|Q|$ columns of matrix the $M$. This is possible, because $|Q| \leq \Delta - 1$. So, since $M$ is a commutative latin square, before the replacements, the matrix $A$ is commutative and its elements in any line or column are pairwise distinct. Since the matrix $A$, before the replacements, is a submatrix of $M$, then its elements are in the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, \Delta - 2\}$. (Note that $A$ is not a latin square.) The only color used for replacements on the cells of $A$ is the color $\Delta - 1$. Therefore, the elements of matrix $A$ returned by Algorithm ColorDiagrams are from $\{0, \ldots, \Delta - 1\}$.

The loop while of the algorithm considers each color that belongs to $F = \{|Q| - 1, |Q|, \ldots, \Delta - 3\}$ in increasing order. It starts with color $c = |Q| - 1$ and replaces it by $\Delta - 1$ in the cells $a_{l,l'} = a_{0,|Q| - 1}$ and $a_{l',l} = a_{|Q| - 1,0}$. Note that when $l'$ is decreased by one, $l$ is increased by one or two and two cells receive the color $\Delta - 1$. This loop finishes
when all the colors were used, i.e. when \( c = \Delta - 2 \), or when all lines of \( A \) were visited, i.e. \( l \geq l' \). Note that when the loop finishes each line and column were considered by the algorithm at most one time. Hence each line and each column receives at most one color \( \Delta - 1 \). Since the elements in each line and column of \( A \), before the replacements, are pairwise distinct and after each replacement the line \( l \) and column \( l' \) are incremented and decremented, respectively, the matrix \( A \) returned by the Algorithm ColorDiagrams has also pairwise distinct elements in each line and column.

Since before the replacements the matrix \( A \) is commutative and each replacement is performed at \( a_{l',v} \) and at \( a_{v,l} \), the matrix \( A \) returned by Algorithm ColorDiagrams is commutative too.

By hypothesis, \( \Delta \) is even. Thus the matrix \( M \) that is used to construct \( A \) has odd order \( (\Delta - 1) \). So, before the replacements, the elements of the main diagonal of \( A \) are pairwise distinct. Since the algorithm does not perform any replacement in the main diagonal of \( A \) (it stops when \( l \geq l' \)), these elements remain pairwise distinct.

**Lemma 3** Let \( d(v) \geq \frac{|Q|}{2} \) and \( \Delta \) even. Suppose that \( (d(Q))^2 \geq 2|Q| + 1 \). Then the sequence \( C \) returned by the Algorithm ColorDiagrams is a monotonic color diagram.

**Proof.** First of all, the Algorithm ColorDiagrams uses a subset of the elements of line \( i \) of matrix \( M \) to initialize \( C_i \), \( 0 \leq i < |Q| \). By construction, each \( C_i \) has size \( \Delta - |Q| - 1 = d(Q) - 2 \). (Remember that \( \Delta = |Q| - 1 + d(Q) \).) Since \( M \) is a latin square, at this moment, the colors in each \( C_i \) are pairwise distinct and, since \( 0 \leq i < |Q| \), the sequence \( C \) is a monotonic color diagram. Hence, each color that belongs to \( C \) appears in this color diagram at most \( |C_i| = d(Q) - 2 \) times.

The Algorithm ColorDiagrams includes the element \( m_{i,i} \) of the main diagonal of \( M \) as the first element of each sequence \( C_i \), \( d(v) \leq i < |Q| \). We show that, after this operation, the sequence \( C \) remains a monotonic color diagram. The colors that are in each new \( C_i = \{m_{i,i}, m_{i,q}, \ldots, m_{i,\Delta-1}\} \) are pairwise distinct, since they belong to the line \( i \) of \( M \) and \( M \) is a latin square. By hypothesis, \( \Delta \) is even, then \( M \) is a latin square with odd order and, therefore, the elements of the main diagonal of \( M \) are pairwise distinct. So no color is included twice in this step. Moreover, since \( d(v) \geq \frac{|Q|}{2} \), then no \( C_j \) with \( j > i \) has the color \( m_{i,i} \). Remember that before the inclusion of the elements of the main diagonal in \( C_i \), each color appeared in \( C \) at most \( d(Q) - 2 \) times. Since \( m_{i,i} \) is the first element of each \( C_i \) and the cardinality of each one of these \( C_i \) is \( d(Q) - 1 \), then each \( m_{i,i} \) can appear in \( C_0, \ldots, C_j, j < i \), at most \( d(Q) - 2 \) times. Therefore, the sequence \( C \) remains a monotonic color diagram.

In the last step, the Algorithm ColorDiagrams includes a new element at the first position of some \( C_i \), \( 0 \leq i \leq |Q| - 1 \). It initializes the first position of each \( C_i \) as \( \Delta - 1 \) and, after, replaces some of them by one color of the set \( F = \{|Q| - 1, |Q|, \ldots, \Delta - 3\} = \{|Q| + x, -1 \leq x \leq \Delta - |Q| - 3 = d(Q) - 4\} \). In order to guarantee the sequence \( C \) returned by the Algorithm ColorDiagrams is a monotonic color diagram, the Algorithm replaces the color \( \Delta - 1 \) of some sequences \( C_i \) by a color \( |Q| + x \in F \) such that the total number of times that the color \( |Q| + x \) appears in \( C \) is at most \( \Delta - |Q| = d(Q) - 1 \). So, each color \( |Q| + x \in C_i \) appears at most \( d(Q) - 2 \) times in \( C_0, \ldots, C_j, j < i \).
Now we show how many times the color $Q + x \in F$ appears in $C = C_i$, $0 \leq i \leq |Q| - 1$, before of the execution of the loop while of the algorithm. There are two cases:

- if the color $|Q| + x$ is odd, it appears at most $x + 1$ times.
- if the color $|Q| + x$ is even, it appears at most $x + 1$ or $x + 2$ times. In fact, when $\frac{|Q|}{2} < d(v) < \frac{\Delta}{2}$, the color $|Q| + x$ belongs to the main diagonal of $M$ and it had been included in $C$ in the previous steps of the algorithm. So, in this case, it appears at most $x + 2$ times in $C$. Otherwise, the color $|Q| + x$ appears at most $x + 1$ times.

So, if $|Q| + x$ is odd, this color can be included in $C$ at most $d(Q) - 1 - (x + 1) = d(Q) - 2 - x$ times. Since the Algorithm ColorDiagrams performs the replacement of the color $\Delta - 1$ by the color $|Q| + x$ in $C_t$ and $C_{t'}$ at the same time, this pair of replacements occurs exactly $\left\lfloor \frac{d(Q) - 2 - x}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta - |Q| - x - 1}{2} \right\rfloor$ times, unless $l$ becomes greater than $l'$ and the algorithm finishes.

Analogously, if $|Q| + x$ is even, the color $\Delta - 1$ can be replaced at most $d(Q) - 1 - (x + 2) = d(Q) - 3 - x$ times by $|Q| + x$ and the pair of replacements (in $C_t$ and $C_{t'}$) occurs exactly $\left\lfloor \frac{d(Q) - 3 - x}{2} \right\rfloor$ times, unless $l$ becomes greater than $l'$ and the algorithm finishes.

Consider the sequences $C_j$ that contain $c_{j,k} = |Q| + x$, $k \neq 1$. Note that each sequence $C_i$ that contains $c_{i,1} = |Q| + x$ has $i > j$.

Now we show that, after the last step of the algorithm, there is at most $d(Q) - 1$ colors $\Delta - 1$ in $C$.

The algorithm uses at most $|F| = d(Q) - 2$ distinct colors to perform these replacements. For each distinct color used, the algorithm leaves a sequence with the color $\Delta - 1$. (This occurs when $\text{count} = 0$.)

If the loop while finishes because $l \geq l'$ but with $c < \Delta - 2$, then the number of times that the color $\Delta - 1$ appears in $C$ at the end of the algorithm is less than $d(Q) - 2$ plus 1 (a color $\Delta - 1$ that is left in $C_t$, when $l = l' = \left\lfloor \frac{|Q|}{2} \right\rfloor + 1$). Thus, the total number of occurences of the color $\Delta - 1$ in $C$ is less than $d(Q) - 1$.

If the loop while finishes because $c = \Delta - 2$, then the number times that the color $\Delta - 1$ appears in $C$ is given bellow.

By hypothesis, $(d(Q))^2 \geq 2|Q| + 1$. If $|Q|$ is even, the first and the last elements of $F$ is odd. Therefore,

$$|Q| - (d(Q) - 1 + 2 \left( \frac{(d(Q) - 3)}{2} + \frac{(d(Q) - 3)}{2} + \frac{(d(Q) - 5)}{2} + \cdots + \frac{2}{2} \right)) =$$

$$|Q| - (d(Q) - 1 + 2(d(Q) - 3 + d(Q) - 5 + \cdots + d(Q) - (d(Q) - 2))) =$$

$$|Q| - \left( d(Q) - 1 + \frac{(d(Q) - 1)(d(Q) - 3)}{2} \right) =$$

$$|Q| - \frac{(d(Q) - 1)^2}{2} \leq \frac{(d(Q))^2 - 1}{2} - \frac{(d(Q) - 1)^2}{2} = d(Q) - 1$$
If $|Q|$ is odd, then $(d(Q))^2$ is even and then $(d(Q))^2 \geq 2|Q| + 2$. Hence,

$$|Q| - 2(d(Q) - 2 + d(Q) - 4 + \cdots + d(Q) - (d(Q) - 2)) =$$

$$|Q| - \left(\frac{d(Q)(d(Q) - 2)}{2}\right) \leq$$

$$\frac{(d(Q))^2 - 2}{2} - \frac{d(Q)(d(Q) - 2)}{2} = d(Q) - 1$$

Remember that each color $\Delta - 1 \in C_i$ appears in the first position of $C_i$. Since there is at most $d(Q) - 1$ colors $\Delta - 1$ in $\mathcal{C}$, then there is at most $d(Q) - 2$ colors $\Delta - 1$ in $C_0, \ldots, C_j$ with $j < i$. Therefore, the sequence $\mathcal{C}$ returned by the Algorithm ColorDiagrams is a monotonic color diagram. This conclusion follows from the counting of the total number of each color $|Q| + x$ and each color $\Delta - 1$ that appears in $\mathcal{C}$. ■

Now, we are ready to prove the Theorem 4.

**Theorem 4** Let $G$ be a split graph with even maximum degree. If $G$ has a vertex $v$ in $S$ with degree at least $\frac{|Q|}{2}$ and $(d(Q))^2 \geq 2|Q| + 1$, then $G$ is Class 1.

**Proof.** Let $G$ be a split graph with $\Delta = \Delta(G)$ even. Let $\{Q, S\}$ be a partition of the vertex set of $G$, where $Q$ is a maximal clique and $S$ is a stable set. Note that removing edges from a graph cannot increase its chromatic index, so it suffices to show that $\chi'(G) = \Delta$ when all vertices of $Q$ has degree equal to $\Delta$. Remember that $B_G$ is the bipartite graph obtained from $G$ by removing all edges of $G[Q]\backslash v$, $(d(Q)) = \max\{d_B(v)\}$, and $\Delta(G) = |Q| - 1 + (d(Q))$.

Suppose that $G$ has a vertex $v$ in $S$ with $d(v) \geq \frac{|Q|}{2}$ and $(d(Q))^2 \geq 2|Q| + 1$.

We order the vertices in $S = \{v_0, \ldots, v_{|S|-1}\}$ such that $v_0 = v$ and we order the vertices in $Q = \{w_0, \ldots, w_{|Q|}\}$ such that the $d(v_0)$ first vertices in $Q$ are adjacent to $v_0$.

Let $A$ be the matrix returned by Algorithm ColorDiagrams. For $0 \leq i, j \leq |Q| - 1$, we use the color $a_{i,j}$ to color the edge $\{w_i, w_j\}$. By Lemma 2, the elements of any line of matrix $A$ are pairwise distinct and $A$ is commutative. Hence, this is an edge-coloring of $G[Q]$. Then it remains to color the edges of $B_G$.

Now we color the edges of $B_G$ that are incident to $v_0 = v$. By hypothesis, $d(v_0) \geq \frac{|Q|}{2}$. We use the color $a_{i,j}$ of the main diagonal of $A$ to color the edge $\{v_0, w_i\}$, $0 \leq i < d(v_0)$.

Since $\Delta$ is even, by Lemma 2, the elements of the main diagonal of $A$ are pairwise distinct. Hence the edges incident to $v_0$ have distinct colors. Since $G$ is a simple graph, only colors $a_{i,j}$ with $i \neq j$ are used to color the edges of $G[Q]$. Then each color $a_{i,j}$ differs of all the other colors that are in edges incident to $w_i$. Therefore, we have an edge-coloring of $G[Q \cup \{v_0\}]$.

Let $B'$ the bipartite graph graph induced by the edges with a vertex in $Q$ and another one in $S\backslash \{v_0\}$. Consider now the color diagram $\mathcal{C}$ returned by the Algorithm ColorDiagrams. The first $d(v)$ sequences of $\mathcal{C}$ have size $d(Q) - 1$ and the other ones have size $d(Q)$. In fact, $C_i = [c, m_i, |Q|, \ldots, m_i, \Delta - 2]$, for $0 \leq i < d(v)$, and $C_i = [c, m_i, m_i, |Q|, \ldots, m_i, \Delta - 2]$, for $d(v) \leq i < |Q|$, where $c \in F = \{|Q| - 1, |Q|, \ldots, \Delta - 3, \Delta - 1\}$. 


Remember that $d_{B'}(w_i) \leq d(Q)$, $0 \leq i < |Q| - 1$. Since we label the vertices of $Q$ such that the first $d(v_0)$ vertices are the neighbors of $v_0$, then these vertices have degree at most $d(Q) - 1$ in $B'$. The size of a sequence $C_i$ is at least $d_{B'}(w_i)$, $0 \leq i < |Q|$. By Lemma 3, $C$ is a monotonic color diagram. Thus, by Lemma 1, we can color the edges of $B'$ using the elements of the color diagram $C$ and we have an edge-coloring of $B'$.

Now, we have to show that the colors of the edges incident to $w_i$ in $G$ are pairwise distinct, for $0 \leq i < |Q|$. To see this, remember that for each $i$, $0 \leq i < |Q|$, the colors of $\{w_i, w_j\}$ belong to line $i$ of $A$, the colors of $\{w_i, v_j\}$, $v_j \in S$, belong to $C_i$, and each $C_i$ has at most one color $\Delta - 1$. Remember, also, that the elements of line $i$ of matrix $A$ and the elements of $C_i$, except $\Delta - 1$, belong to line $i$ of $M$ (the matrix defined in (1)). Since $M$ is a latin square, the colors of the edges incident to each $w_i$, $0 \leq i < |Q|$, are pairwise distinct. Then we have a an edge-coloring with $\Delta$ colors for $G$. Therefore, $G$ is Class 1.

A split graph satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4 is not neighborhood-overfull. So, our result gives a positive evidence to the conjecture that for any split graph neighborhood-overfullness is equivalent to being Class 2.
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