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Abstract

We present a new interface for Object-Oriented Database Management Systems (OODBMSs). The GOODIES\(^1\) system combines and expands the functions of many existing interface systems, introducing some new concepts for improved browsing in an OODBMS. The implementation of GOODIES proposes a new approach to database interfaces development: instead of being strongly dependent of the underlying DBMS, GOODIES is based on the main features of the object-oriented data model. The system design is based on an internal model and on an external model. The internal model defines the relationships that bind the interface to the DBMS, and it is fully described in [Oli92]. The external model determines the possible interaction between the user and the interface system. This paper describes the concepts of the external model of the GOODIES system.

\(^*\)Departamento de Ciência da Computação, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, 13081-970 Campinas, SP. Pesquisa desenvolvida com suporte financeiro do CNPq — Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico

\(^†\)Departamento de Ciência da Computação, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, 13081-970 Campinas, SP.

\(^1\)GOODIES is an acronym for Graphical Object Oriented Database Interface with Extended Synchronism.
1 Introduction

Offering database users a suitable interface is an old research issue, and much work has been done towards that objective. Database Management Systems (DBMSs) are powerful software tools, with a large and complex set of functions. The main purpose of interface systems for DBMSs is to improve access to those functions for the whole database (DB) user community. That, however, is not an easy task, since different kinds of users (application programmers, database administrators and end-users) expect different, and sometimes conflicting, functions. As graphical workstations become more popular, there is a strong trend to substitute the traditional DB programming languages by the graphical interfaces, which are more suitable for the interaction between the user and the DBMS [MvD91, Shn87, PH91].

In this paper we introduce GOODIES, a new system for browsing and querying in Object-Oriented Database Systems (OODBMSs). This new system is a multiple window graphical interface using the direct manipulation paradigm, and supporting multi-media objects. The system combines, in a single tool, the main functions for database browsing at both schema and data levels. Another important feature of GOODIES is to be independent from a specific OODBMS.

1.1 Graphical Interfaces for DBMSs

[Her80] presents one of the first implementations of graphical interfaces for relational databases: the SDMS system. It allows the visualization of a relation through two windows, where the first window presents a global view of the relation, and the second window presents a detailed view of a subset of the tuples in the relation. The user can navigate through tuples using a joystick. SDMS is very limited, since it is not possible to visualize two or more relations simultaneously, but it has historical importance.

[GGKZ85] shows the ISIS system, a graphical interface for the semantic data model. This system permits both schema and data ma-
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The relationships among the objects are displayed as lines that bind the schema classes. Data is displayed in separate windows, one window for each object’s class. Another interface for the semantic data model, the SNAP system, is presented in [BH86]. SNAP provides facilities for schema manipulation and query formulation. The schema is presented as a very complex graph, where different geometric figures are used to represent different relationships.

The SIG system, described in [MNG86], provides only data manipulation functions, and has no schema manipulation facility. The approach to data representation introduced therein is very interesting, but it is very difficult to create the first presentation of an object in SIG. Another problem is that the creation of a presentation modifies the class definition, by adding methods that support operations on the presentation.

A graphical interface for the entity-relationship data model is presented in [RC88]. The system permits navigation and update of both schema and data. The interface automatically creates presentations for the entities defined in the schema, and the user can modify these presentations. During navigation, the system operates in two modes: browse, where the user cannot modify the information, and edit, where update operations are allowed.

The PICASSO system [KK88] introduces a graphical query language for DBs. The query formulation is based on a mouse with three buttons. The left button is used to select attributes; the middle button is used to build predicates; and the right button is used to choose options from the query processing menu. Thus, PICASSO allows a graphical definition of queries, and the queries defined in the system are very similar to the well-known SQL’s query blocks. An auxiliary tool allows both navigation through the results of executed queries, and formulation of complex queries using the results of previous queries.

KIVIEW [MDT89] is an object-oriented system that improves the access of non-expert users to a DB. It allows navigation on both schema and data levels. KIVIEW is a powerful browsing tool because the user can save information during the navigation process, and the saved infor-
mation can be used as a starting point for other navigations. KIVIEW also allows the simultaneous navigation in objects of different classes, through synchronized browsing operations.

The LOOKS system is a graphical presentation generator for the OODBMS O2. [Alt90a] describes the primitives provided in LOOKS to manipulate the presentations using a programming language. The LOOKS architecture is presented in the second part of [Mam91]. Besides the LOOK presentation generator, the O2 system has an object-oriented programming environment called OOPE [Alt90b]. Among other functions, OOPE allows: creation, navigation and edition of classes and methods, visualization and edition of the class hierarchy and ad hoc query execution. The association of OOPE with LOOKS gives access to the whole set of functions of the O2 system, and they are considered a complete OODBMS interface system [BMP+92].

Other existing OODBMS interface systems can be cited, although they are not as powerful as the O2 DBMS interface system. ODEVIEW [AGS90], the interface system for the ODE OODBMS, allows schema and data manipulation. A special function in ODEVIEW permits the simultaneous navigation among objects of different classes (synchronized browsing). In [Alm91] it is presented the GSDesigner system, an interface tool that allows the graphical interactive definition of classes and relationships for the OODBMS GemStone.

1.2 A New Interface for OODBMSs

Unlike relational databases, which share exactly the same data model, OODBMSs are not based on a common formal model. Indeed, the object-oriented (OO) data model is composed by a set of properties and functions that database researchers consider essential for a DBMS to be accepted as an object-oriented system. Recently, many papers proposed basic features that should be present in an OODBMS ([ABD+89], [EN89], [Com90], [Cat91] and [BM91]). The following characteristics represent the common points in these propositions [Oli92]:

1. To have the basic features of a complete DBMS;
2. To support complex objects and object identity;

3. To provide encapsulation;

4. To support the class concept, and to permit inheritance and class hierarchies;

5. To allow overloading and late binding of methods;

6. To be extensible and computationally complete.

Therefore, OODBMSs implement similar features, but they do not follow an specific set of rigid rules. Due to this diversity of features in OODBMs, interface systems for OODBMSs have an ad hoc design, according to the specific implementation used in the OODBMS for the fundamentals of the OO data model.

The GOODIES system introduces a new approach to the construction of interfaces for OODBMSs. Discarding the idea of a strong relationship between the OODBMS implementation and the process of interface development, GOODIES's design was directed by the essential features of the OO data model, identified above, independently of a specific implementation of these features.

This new approach to OODBMSs interface development presents some advantages in comparison to the previous approach. First, it permits the validation of the basic features that define the OO data model. A second advantage is that it permits to verify whether a given DBMS provides these features, that is, the new approach can be used to verify if the DBMS is object-oriented. Finally, the new approach facilitates the adaptation of the interface system to a DBMS that implements, in any way, the basic object-oriented features.

At the present stage, the GOODIES system implementation only provides reading access to the information stored in the DBs. Thus, the system cannot be considered a complete DBMS interface system. However, the GOODIES system design was conceived with the objective of being extensible. So, the information update capability can be incorporated to the system, without changing its external model (user's view of
the system), through a reduced number of modifications on the internal model of the system (the way the system dialogs with the underlying DBMS).

The following sections describe the external model of this new interface system, showing the DB's view that the system offers to the users. In section 2 we describe the way the information is represented in the system. Section 3 shows the interaction mechanism between the user and the interface. In section 4 we explain the behavior of the browse and query operations. Section 5 introduces some functions that improves the system utilization. The last section comments the system implementation and relates it to previous work.

2 Information Visualization

In GOODIES, all kinds of information are displayed through windows. Windows are composed by three parts: header, body and footer. The window title (that is, the identification of the kind of information displayed in the window) appears in the header. The window body contains the controls and the representations of the information associated to the window. The window footer is split in two parts: left and right. In the right part it is presented the name or identification of the DB component that is represented in the window's body. For instance, in a window that displays a DB schema class, the right footer contains the name of the represented class. The left footer is reserved for system messages related to either the presented data or to the operations performed on the window.

The system has four types of base windows, where the information about schema and data (objects) are displayed. There is also a set of auxiliary windows, which allows the user access to the complete system functionality. GOODIES allows an arbitrary number of windows to be displayed simultaneously.
2.1 Schema Visualization

Three base windows contain information about schemas: the directory window, which provides browsing facilities at DB level; the DB window, which presents the list of classes that are defined in a given DB schema; and the class window, which presents the items that define a given schema class.

DBs are considered by GOODIES as special kinds of files, which can be identified and differentiated from the other kinds of files that exist in the file system of the underlying equipment. The identification of the DBs is handled in a very simple way: a sequence of bytes is compared to the first bytes of the file, and if they match, GOODIES consider the file to be a DB. The sequence of bytes used for comparison is dependent on the specific DBMS used.

The directory window provides access to the existing DBs. This window allows navigation on the file system in order to select a DBs. The user can visualize different DBs at the same time, since each DB selection in the directory window opens the DB window corresponding to the selected DB. Existing DBs in a directory are visualized through a
Figure 2: DB Window

directory. This list contains also the subdirectories of the visualized directory, and an option, that always appears in the top of the list, to go up one level in the directories hierarchy. Figure 1 shows a directory window.

Besides the three DBs (animal, car and system) showed, the presented directory has three associated directories: the owner directory in the directory tree (represented by ..), and two subdirectories (SRC and OBJ). Figure 2 presents the DB window that contains the classes defined in the schema of the system DB.

The third base window for schema visualization is the class window. This window presents the definition of a class in a DB schema, and it is composed by the following items:

- **Type**: a textual description of the class type definition, that is, the composition of the instances (objects) of the class;

- **Superclasses**: a list of superclasses from which the described class inherits attributes and methods;

- **Subclasses**: a list of subclasses that inherit the attributes and methods defined for the described class;
• **Methods**: a list of methods associated to the described class;

• **Objects**: a list of object instances that belong to the described class, that is, the class extension.

Figure 3 shows a class window that displays the class *Program* of the DB presented in figure 2. The sliders on the left of the list items allow the resizing of the representation of a given list item with respect to the other items. In other words, the user can, through these sliders, change the number of rows displayed in individual items, without changing the size of the window. The system automatically changes the size of the items in such a way that the complete set of items continue to be displayed in the available space. This mechanism is useful to show more information on important items.

2.2 Data Visualization

The three base windows described in the previous section (directory window, DB window and class window) are used to visualize and to navigate on the schema definitions of the different DBs controlled by an OODBMS. The fourth base window permits the execution of these operations on data, i.e., on the objects stored in the DBs.

The *object window* contains the values of the attributes that compose an object instance, according to the class composition description presented in the class window. Figure 4 shows an object of the class *Program*, presented in figure 3.

The objects attributes are divided, according to their representation in the system, in the following groups:

1. Simple Attributes: these attributes are those which can be displayed as character strings containing at most 128 characters, and that are *atomic*, that is, they are not composed by other elements. Numbers (real, integer), boolean values and character strings 2

---

2 Character strings are not considered to be composed by elements of type character because, in this case, the individual characters do not have their own semantic meaning.
Figure 3: Class Window
Figure 4: Object Window
Figure 5: Text Window

with less than 128 characters are examples of simple attributes. These attributes are represented directly in the object window. The attributes objective and identification of figure 4 are examples of simple attributes.

2. Textual Attributes: in this group are the atomic attributes, as defined above, which cannot be represented with less than 129 characters. These attributes are displayed in auxiliary text windows, associated to the object window that contains the textual attribute. Figure 5 shows the representation of the textual attribute program_body, of the object presented in figure 4.

3. Images: an image is a sequence of bytes that defines the graphical representation of a picture. Images are presented in auxiliary graphical windows, associated to the object window that contains the image attribute. Figure 6 shows the image window that corresponds to the first element of the windows list of the object presented in figure 4.
Figure 6: Image Window
4. **Sounds**: sound attributes are applied to audio recordings, whose representation is realized by reproducing the sound stored in the attribute. The sound and image attributes provide facilities for storing and manipulating of *multi-media* objects, which are supported by the majority of existing object-oriented systems.

5. **Lists**: collections of elements that belong to the same type are represented by a list attribute. The elements of a list may be either simple or complex. Simple attributes are displayed directly in the object window as list items. If the elements of the list are not simple attributes, the items of the list presented in the object window work as references to the attributes that must be presented in auxiliary windows.

6. **Tuples**: tuple attributes represent the aggregation of elements of heterogeneous types. Thus, tuples demand the creation of an auxiliary window in order to display its contents, since each tuple element may belong to any of the defined attribute types.

7. **Sub-objects**: these attributes are used to represent the concept of *complex object*. According to this concept, an object can be composed by an arbitrary set of other objects. The sub-objects are displayed in object windows associated to the base object window. There is no difference between the construction and presentation of sub-object windows and the construction and presentation of object windows, except that the sub-object window is associated to the base object window, whereas the base object window is associated to the object’s class window. This subtle difference is the base of the *synchronized browsing* capability described later in this text.

The auxiliary windows associated to the object window follows the same scheme for attribute representation used in the object window. Thus, it is possible to represent an arbitrary number of nested objects and values, and this satisfies the directives for objects construction in
the OO data model [ABD89]. The attributes that must be visualized in different windows are easily identified, because their reference names are ended with ellipses ("..."), as shown in figure 4.

3 Interaction with the user

The direct manipulation paradigm [Shn83] was adopted as the main mechanism for interaction with the user. This mechanism simplifies the input actions required from the user in order to execute an operation, and reduces both the amount of input errors and the user typing effort.

There are two basic ways to activate the system's functions. The first way is the traditional activating mode used in graphical interfaces: the user selects the information and afterwards indicates the action to be performed, through command buttons located in the window that contains the selected information. The selection is done by positioning the mouse on the desired information and clicking the mouse selection button.

The second way to activate system's functions is used as a shortcut to some specific operations, mainly the browsing operations. This second way can be used every time the user wants to select an information and afterwards apply an operation in order to either create or open a window that represents the selected information. Instead of selecting the operation from a menu associated with some button in the window, the user needs only to click the selection button twice on the desired information. This double click operation indicates that the user wants to open a window to visualize the data related to the selected information.

As an example of the utilization of these two interaction mechanisms, the auxiliary text window presented in figure 5 could have been created in the two following ways. The user could have selected the value of the attribute program_body in the object window presented in figure 4 and afterwards he could have activated the Open option of the View button of this window. Similarly, the double click on the program_body attribute's value of the object window would have caused the creation of the text window. From this point on, the term selection will be used to
denote the complete action of choosing an information and applying a browsing operation on it, through double click or through menu buttons.

Using the first interaction mode (command buttons), the user can have access to the complete functionality of the interface system. The second interaction mode (double-click) permits only the activation of browsing functions on both schema and data levels. It is important to emphasize that, in any system window, both interaction mechanisms produce exactly the same results for the same kinds of operations. The coherence between actions and results was a major guideline on the system design, as it guarantees that the final user will have a fast comprehension of the interface functionality.

Besides assuring coherence, the user interaction mechanisms of GOODIES also provide flexibility for the user to define the environment where he is going to work. GOODIES allows the user to set up his workspace, through facilities to resize, reposition, open, close, create and destroy windows. The system neither limits the number of opened windows (in fact this number is limited by the 
\cite{Window Manager} and by the available memory in the equipment), nor imposes any kind of restriction about size or positioning of the windows.

4 Mechanisms for Browsing and Querying

Up to this point we presented the available windows in the GOODIES system. The next sections describe how these windows are used to visualize different aspects of schema and data contained in a OODBMS.

4.1 Schema Navigation

A working session in GOODIES is initiated with the directory window, that allows the user to choose the desired DBs. The selection of a DB causes the presentation of a DB window, containing the list of classes defined for the selected DB. In order to select the DB, the user can

\cite{Window Manager} is the system responsible for controlling the windows in a multi-window environment.
visualize the contents of the existing directories in the file system. The selection of a directory in the list of the directory window causes the contents of this window to change. The directory window list is updated to present the DBs and subdirectories of the selected directory.

The user can either choose a subdirectory, navigating down in the directories hierarchy or he can navigate upwards in this hierarchy, selecting the first option of the directory window list (../). The user can also select a DB in this list, and start the navigation process on the selected DB. If the user knows the complete path of the desired DB, he can type in this path in the text field on the upper part of the directory window, eliminating the process of navigation on the intermediary subdirectories. Section 5 shows a mechanism through which the user can define the desired DBs, in such a way that the system automatically opens these DBs windows, so the user does not need to use the directory window to search for a DBs.

Once obtained a DB window, the user can select the schema classes that he wants to visualize from the DB window classes list. By selecting classes in this list the user obtains the corresponding class windows, which contain the complete description of each schema class (section 2.1 presents and explains the contents of the class window).

In a similar way, starting from the class window, the user can proceed browsing the schema either selecting classes from the subclasses and superclasses lists, or selecting methods from the class methods list. It is also possible to start the data browsing over the class objects, through the selection of instances in the class objects list.

The selection of superclasses or subclasses in the class window represents exactly the same operation of selection classes in the DB window. These operations cause the creation and presentation of the class windows for the selected classes.

The selection of a method from the class methods list triggers the process of creation and presentation of an auxiliary window, the method description window. This window contains the textual description of the selected method, and each method selection causes the creation of a new method window. Figure 7 shows the presentation of a method of the
class exhibited in figure 3.

4.2 Data Navigation

The data navigation starts with the selection of an object from the objects list of a class window. This operation causes the presentation of an object window for the selected object, and each new selection in that list causes the creation of a new object window. Thus, the user can work with many instances of the same class simultaneously.

Sequencing operations are available to provide access to different objects through a single object window. These operations are activated by the next, previous and first buttons of the object window. The next button updates the contents of the object window with the value of the next object in the class objects list. For example, if the visualized object corresponds to the first element of the class objects list, the activation of the next button causes the substitution of the attributes values of the first object by the attributes values of the second element of the class objects list.

The previous button has an analog effect, except that instead of using the next element, it uses the previous element in the class objects list. The first button causes the presentation of the first element of the class
objects list, no matter what object is currently being visualized in the object window.

It is worth noting that the sequencing operations next and previous see the class objects list as a circular list, in such a way that the activation of next on the last element of this list causes the presentation of the first element, and the activation of previous on the list’s first element exhibits the last element of the list on the object window.

4.3 Query Facilities

In the previous sections we described the basic mechanisms for navigation in GOODIES. These mechanisms are also present in many other existing database interface systems. This section introduces the additional capabilities that improve the browsing power of GOODIES, and which can be regarded as a simplified querying process.

It is important to distinguish at this point the adopted terminology: browsing (or navigation) is the process of sequential visualization of information of a specific type; querying is the process of selecting and restricting information, in such a way that only the explicitly demanded information is retrieved from the DB and presented to the user.

4.3.1 Predicates

The first query facility available in GOODIES is the formulation of predicates. The Props menu in the object window has a “predicate...” option that creates an auxiliary window associated to the object window. This auxiliary window is the predicate window, where the user can define predicates that are applied to the object presented in the associated object window. A predicate is composed by three elements:

Attribute: An attribute of the object displayed in the object window for which the predicate window was created;

Operator: Either a comparison operator ( = , ≠ , > , ≤ , ≥) or a set operator ( ⊆ , ⊇ );
Referential: Either a value or an attribute of an object presented in the user workspace. If the referential is an attribute, its type must be compatible with the type of the first element of the predicate.

A predicate can also be composed by the association of other predicates, through logical connectors (And, Or) and logical negation operator (Not). Parentheses can be used to specify a resolution ordering for the composed predicates.

Once the predicate is defined by the user, the semantic of the sequencing operations for the associated object window is modified. The activation of next will not find the next element of the class objects list, but the next element of this list that satisfies the defined predicate. The same behavior is adopted by the previous operation, that searches the list in the reverse order, and by the first operation, which finds the first element, starting from the beginning of the list, that satisfies the defined predicate.

4.3.2 Synchronization

Another query facility provided by GOODIES is the synchronization of object windows. As it was already said, an object window can have references to other objects (sub-objects), and opening an object window through these references creates a synchronization link between the complex object window and the sub-object window. Each reference to a sub-object can have many associated windows, forming a synchronization tree. The synchronization mechanism guarantees that any sequencing operation applied on an object window is reflected in the whole sub-tree whose root is the object window on which the sequencing operation was performed.

A synchronization link creates a relationship of hierarchy between two object representations. However, the synchronization link cannot be created between any two objects. The synchronization relationship must follow the composition definition of the object's class. An object window can be the owner of another window in the synchronization tree if, and
only if, the object displayed in the owner window has an attribute that references the object displayed in the owned window.

The synchronization mechanism can be better understood through an example. Consider a schema composed by classes A, B, C and D, where the definition of the type of class A includes sub-objects of classes B and C, and the definition of class C includes a sub-object of class D, as shown in figure 8.

A synchronization tree can be built in the following way: the selection of sub-objects B1 and C1 in the object window A1 creates a synchronization tree with object A1 as root and with two leaf nodes that are the object windows of object B1 (of class B) and object C1 (of class C). Another synchronization tree can be constructed in a similar way. The root node of the new tree is the object C1 and it has a unique leaf node, the object D1 (of class D). The resulting synchronization tree can be observed in figure 9.

A sequencing operation applied to the object window of class A will be reflected automatically in the object windows of classes B, C and D.
Figure 9: Synchronization Tree
For instance, the next operation, applied to the object window of class A will produce the following effect: in the object window of class A it will be presented the attribute values of the next object of the extension of class A; in the object window of class B it will be presented the attribute values of the object of class B that corresponds to the new object presented in the object window of class A; and in the object window of class C it will be presented the object of class C that corresponds to the new object presented in the object window of class A. The object window of class D will also be updated, and it will present the attribute values of the object of class D that corresponds to the new object presented in the object window of class C. Figure 10 shows the synchronization tree of figure 9 after the execution of the next operation in the object window A1.

As we have seen, a single sequencing operation can update the presentation of several objects, through the synchronization mechanism. It is important to note that the predicates defined for each object window continue to be verified when the object window is synchronized with other windows. The association of predicates with the synchronization mechanism provided by GOODIES is similar to a query processing facility where the user selects and restricts the required information. Only graphical query tools provide this facility, and none of the systems cited in section 1.1 have such a powerful mechanism for browsing.

5 Other Facilities

Besides the facilities for navigation and querying presented above, GOODIES provides many facilities that were developed in order to allow the user to customize the system according to his needs. These facilities are also important for adjusting the features of the system to accomplish some specific tasks.
Figure 10: Synchronization Tree after next operation
5.1 Context Saving

The option *Save Workspace* associated to the *Props* menu of the DB window executes one of the customization functions available in the GOODIES system. This option tells the system to save the current workspace where the user is working. After saving his workspace, every time the user opens a GOODIES section, the system automatically presents the context that the user was visualizing in the moment he activated the *Save Workspace* option.

The term *context* is used here to denote the base windows (directory window, DB windows and class windows). The reason for the exclusion of object windows from the context is that objects are dynamically inserted in and removed from the DBs, whereas schemas are not expected to be modified often.

5.2 Visualization Level

According to the *inheritance concept*, the definition of a class inherits methods and attributes from its superclasses. Besides that, the inheritance hierarchy may have an arbitrary depth, with a class being a superclass of another, which is superclass of a third class, and so on. If multiple inheritance is supported, a class inherits methods and attributes from all its superclasses. In this way, the definition of a class type may contain few attributes and methods defined for the class, with a large number of inherited attributes and methods. So it is possible that the user does not want to see the complete set of attributes and methods, but only part of them. GOODIES offers facilities to define the visualization level of the class hierarchy. The user can select the desired visualization level through the following options:

- *Display Superclasses*: an auxiliary window containing the list of superclasses of a given class, and the user selects from this list the superclasses whose attributes and methods should be displayed. This selection updates the contents of the class window items *Type*, *Superclasses* and *Methods*, as well as the attributes visualized in the
object windows that belong to the class.

Display Subclasses: in a similar way, the user can select the subclasses that he wants to visualize, starting from a given class window. The unselected subclasses are eliminated from the class subclasses list of the class window, and the instances of those subclasses are eliminated from the class objects list.

5.3 Attribute Selection

In an object-oriented database, the type definition of a class may contain an arbitrary number of attributes. So, choosing the class hierarchy visualization level is often not enough to fill the users needs, since a class that has no superclasses can still have an excessive number of attributes explicitly defined for it. GOODIES allows, through an auxiliary window associated to the object window, to choose the attributes to be displayed. The attribute selection window contains a list of all attributes defined for (and inherited by) the object, according to the current visualization level. Only the attributes selected in the attribute selection window are presented in the object window.

In a similar way the user can choose the items that are presented in a class window. As it was observed in section 2.1, the items that compose the class window are five: the textual definition of the class composition (Type) and four lists ((Superclasses, Subclasses, Methods and Objects)). There is no difference between the selection of object attributes to be displayed in the object window and the selection of class items to be displayed in the class window, except that there is a fixed number of items in every class window, whereas the number of attributes in an object window is variable. Figure 11 shows the attribute selection window for the object window presented in figure 4.
6 Conclusion

We presented the functionality of the GOODIES system. The basic mechanisms for interaction with the user were described, and it was noted that many of the system functions were adapted from previously developed interface systems. In fact, one of the main advantages of the GOODIES system is to provide the best browsing functions from previous database interfaces, assembled in one single tool.

The window construction style follows the OpenLook [Sun90] guidelines, and the concept of dividing a complex object representation in several windows was inspired on the proposition of [MSB90]. According to that work, the natural trend to depict complex information in a single representation is not always possible, besides being frequently inefficient. In general, it is better to display complex information (for instance, objects in an OODB) in more than one presentation, where each presentation is tuned to a particular aspect of the global information.

The basic GOODIES navigation mechanism was inspired on the database interface system described in [RC88], though the data model of this system is the Entity-Relationship, whereas GOODIES uses the
object-oriented data model. The idea of synchronized browsing was strongly influenced by the KIVIEW system concepts, introduced in [MDT89].

The idea of using predicates to improve the navigation process is used in graphical query systems, and the GOODIES definitions of predicates is very similar to the concepts used in the PICASSO [KKS88] system, a graphical query system for the universal relation data model.

Finally the items that define a class window are similar to those used in the OOPE system, described in [Alt90b]. It should be noted, however, that none of the interface systems from which GOODIES inherited features are independent from their respective DBMSs. This important characteristic, the independency from an specific DBMS, differentiates the GOODIES system from the interface that influenced its design.

At the present moment, all the functionality described (except the predicate building facility) is implemented in a prototype that uses a SUN SPARCstation as platform, under the UNIX operating system. The system applies the graphical resources of the XVIEW toolkit [Hel90], and contains about twelve thousand lines of code written in C++. The next step of the project is to integrate the GOODIES system to an OODBMS, such as O2.
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