Shape-based Image Representation (Part II)

Alexandre Xavier Falcão

Institute of Computing - UNICAMP

afalcao@ic.unicamp.br

Alexandre Xavier Falcão MO445(MC940) - Image Analysis

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

• Image superpixels and objects may be represented by their contours, skeletons, and salience points.

- Image superpixels and objects may be represented by their contours, skeletons, and salience points.
- Contours have internal and external skeletons.

< 同 > < 三 > < 三 > .

- Image superpixels and objects may be represented by their contours, skeletons, and salience points.
- Contours have internal and external skeletons.
- The concave and convex saliences of a contour are related to its external and internal skeletons, respectively.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- Image superpixels and objects may be represented by their contours, skeletons, and salience points.
- Contours have internal and external skeletons.
- The concave and convex saliences of a contour are related to its external and internal skeletons, respectively.
- Salience points of superpixels are strongly related to feature points for image matching.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・

• Multiscale skeletons.

• Salience points.

ヘロト ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

э

 Let S ⊂ B be a set of contour pixels extracted from a border set B, such that L_{ct}(p) is known for p ∈ S (previous lecture).

伺下 イヨト イヨト

- Let S ⊂ B be a set of contour pixels extracted from a border set B, such that L_{ct}(p) is known for p ∈ S (previous lecture).
- Let (D_I, A_r) be a non-weighted graph for the binary image $\hat{I} = (D_I, I)$ and adjacency relation A_r .

・ロト ・ 一 ・ ・ ー ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・

- Let S ⊂ B be a set of contour pixels extracted from a border set B, such that L_{ct}(p) is known for p ∈ S (previous lecture).
- Let (D_I, A_r) be a non-weighted graph for the binary image $\hat{I} = (D_I, I)$ and adjacency relation A_r .
- Let *f_{edt}* be a connectivity function defined as

$$egin{array}{rl} f_{edt}(\langle p
angle) &=& \left\{ egin{array}{cc} 0 & ext{if } p \in \mathcal{S}, \ +\infty & ext{otherwise}. \end{array}
ight. \ f_{edt}(\pi_p \cdot \langle p, q
angle) &=& \left(\|q - R(p)\|_2
ight)^2, \end{array}$$

where $R(p) \in S$ is the root pixel of π_p .

- Let S ⊂ B be a set of contour pixels extracted from a border set B, such that L_{ct}(p) is known for p ∈ S (previous lecture).
- Let (D_I, A_r) be a non-weighted graph for the binary image $\hat{I} = (D_I, I)$ and adjacency relation A_r .
- Let *f_{edt}* be a connectivity function defined as

$$egin{aligned} f_{edt}(\langle p
angle) &= egin{cases} 0 & ext{if } p \in \mathcal{S}, \ +\infty & ext{otherwise}. \end{aligned} \ f_{edt}(\pi_p \cdot \langle p, q
angle) &= (\|q - R(p)\|_2)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $R(p) \in S$ is the root pixel of π_p .

• For some $r \ge \sqrt{2}$, the minimization of the cost map $V(p) = \min_{\pi_p \in \Pi} \{ f_{edt}(\pi_p) \}$ assigns to each pixel $p \in D_I$ the squared Euclidean distance to its closest pixel $R(p) \in S$.

This transformation is named Euclidean distance transform of S.



When S contains a single contour, it creates in the connectivity map V multiscale contours (iso-contours) by subsequent exact dilations and erosions of S [1].

向下 イヨト イヨト

The IFT algorithm for f_{edt} can execute the EDT in $O(|D_I|)$ for small values of $r \ge \sqrt{2}$.

ヘロト 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

The IFT algorithm for f_{edt} can execute the EDT in $O(|D_I|)$ for small values of $r \ge \sqrt{2}$.

Input:	Binary image $\hat{I} = (D_I, I)$, seed set \mathcal{S} ,
	and adjacency relation \mathcal{A}_r .
Output:	Connectivity map V .
Auxiliary:	Priority queue ${\cal Q}$ based on bucket sort,
	root map R , and variable tmp .

ヘロト 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

The IFT algorithm for f_{edt} can execute the EDT in $O(|D_I|)$ for small values of $r \ge \sqrt{2}$.

Input:	Binary image $\hat{I} = (D_I, I)$, seed set S ,
	and adjacency relation \mathcal{A}_r .
Output:	Connectivity map V .
Auxiliary:	Priority queue ${\cal Q}$ based on bucket sort,
	root map R , and variable tmp .

For contours and surfaces, $r = \sqrt{2}$ and $r = \sqrt{3}$ are usually enough, respectively.

ヘロト ヘロト ヘビト ヘビト

- 01. For each $p \in D_I$, do.
- 02. Set $V(p) \leftarrow +\infty$.
- 03. If $p \in S$ then set $V(p) \leftarrow 0$ and $R(p) \leftarrow p$.
- 04. Insert p in Q.
- 05. While $\mathcal{Q} \neq \emptyset$, do.
- 06. Remove p from Q such that $p = \arg \min_{q \in Q} \{V(q)\}.$
- 07. For each $q \in \mathcal{A}_r(p)$ such that V(q) > V(p), do.
- 08. Set $tmp \leftarrow (||q R(p)||_2)^2$.
- 09. If tmp < V(q), then.
- 10. Set $V(q) \leftarrow tmp$ and $R(q) \leftarrow R(p)$.

- 01. For each $p \in D_I$, do.
- 02. Set $V(p) \leftarrow +\infty$.
- 03. If $p \in S$ then set $V(p) \leftarrow 0$ and $R(p) \leftarrow p$.
- 04. Insert p in Q.
- 05. While $\mathcal{Q} \neq \emptyset$, do.
- 06. Remove p from Q such that $p = \arg \min_{q \in Q} \{V(q)\}.$
- 07. For each $q \in \mathcal{A}_r(p)$ such that V(q) > V(p), do.
- 08. Set $tmp \leftarrow (||q R(p)||_2)^2$.
- 09. If tmp < V(q), then.
- 10. Set $V(q) \leftarrow tmp$ and $R(q) \leftarrow R(p)$.

Note that, we may use the values in I to constrain computation inside an object, outside it, and/or up to a threshold $V(p) \leq T$.

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



The example shows two contours with pixel labels 1 or 2 (black) and initial costs 0 (red). The cost of the remaining pixels is $+\infty$.

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >



The EDT algorithm propagates the minimum cost to all pixels (red). It can be easily modified to propagate the contour labels in L_{ct} (colored regions) and the optimum-path tree of each contour pixel (arrows) in a predecessor map P.

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >



A skeleton by influence zones (SKIZ) can be defined by pixels p (green) such that it exits a 4-neighbor $q \in A_1(p)$ with $L_{ct}(q) > L_{ct}(p)$.

・ 戸 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

• Each contour is related to its internal and external skeletons (medial axes)— point sets with at least two equidistant pixels on the contour.

・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

- Each contour is related to its internal and external skeletons (medial axes)— point sets with at least two equidistant pixels on the contour.
- By painting a ball of radius $\sqrt{V(p)}$ for each skeleton pixel p, one can reconstruct the shape. Filtered skeletons imply filtered shapes.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- Each contour is related to its internal and external skeletons (medial axes)— point sets with at least two equidistant pixels on the contour.
- By painting a ball of radius $\sqrt{V(p)}$ for each skeleton pixel p, one can reconstruct the shape. Filtered skeletons imply filtered shapes.
- From each contour in S, one can create internal and external multiscale skeletons which are one-pixel-wide and connected in all scales.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

• Let n_k be the number of pixels in a contour $S_k \in S$, $k = \{1, 2, ..., K\}$.

- Let n_k be the number of pixels in a contour $S_k \in S$, $k = \{1, 2, ..., K\}.$
- A multiscale skeleton Ŝ = (D_I, S) is an image where S(p) is the maximum geodesic length γ(f₁, f₂) between f₁, f₂ ∈ S_k feature (root) points equidistant to p ∈ D_I \S [2].



• By labeling contour pixels $p_i \in S_k$, $i \in [1, n]$, with the geodesic length $L_{px}(p_i)$ equal to $f_{geo}(\pi^*_{p_1 \rightarrow p_i})$ (previous lecture), one can directly obtain $\gamma(f_1, f_2)$ between any pair of feature points $(f_1, f_2) \in S_k$.

$$\gamma(f1, f2) = \min\{\Delta, n_k - \Delta\},$$

$$\Delta = |L_{px}(f_2) - L_{px}(f_1)|.$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

 By labeling contour pixels p_i ∈ S_k, i ∈ [1, n], with the geodesic length L_{px}(p_i) equal to f_{geo}(π^{*}_{p1→pi}) (previous lecture), one can directly obtain γ(f₁, f₂) between any pair of feature points (f₁, f₂) ∈ S_k.

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(f1, f2) &= \min\{\Delta, n_k - \Delta\}, \\ \Delta &= |L_{px}(f_2) - L_{px}(f_1)|. \end{aligned}$$

• As shown next, the module $|L_{px}(f_2) - L_{px}(f_1)|$ is not even required for multiscale skeletonization.

You may propagate $L_{px}(R(p))$ in the EDT algorithm to every pixel p, such that $L_{px}(R(p)) = L_{px}(p)$.



Alexandre Xavier Falcão MO445(MC940) - Image Analysis

・ ロ ト ・ 西 ト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト

- 1. For each $p \in D_I \setminus S$, do.
- 2. Set $S(p) \leftarrow 0$.
- 3. For each $q \in \mathcal{A}_1(p)$ do.
- 4. If $L_{ct}(R(q)) > L_{ct}(R(p))$, then.
- 5. Set $S(p) \leftarrow +\infty$.
- 6. Else if $L_{ct}(R(q)) = L_{ct}(R(p))$, then.
- 7. Set $\Delta \leftarrow L_{\rho \times}(R(q)) L_{\rho \times}(R(p))$.
- 8. Set $S(p) \leftarrow \max\{S(p), \min_{k=L_{ct}(R(p))}\{\Delta, n_k \Delta\}\}$.

• Let R(p) be the feature point of p after EDT computation.

・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ・ ヨ ・

- 1. For each $p \in D_I \setminus S$, do.
- 2. Set $S(p) \leftarrow 0$.
- 3. For each $q \in \mathcal{A}_1(p)$ do.
- 4. If $L_{ct}(R(q)) > L_{ct}(R(p))$, then.
- 5. Set $S(p) \leftarrow +\infty$.
- 6. Else if $L_{ct}(R(q)) = L_{ct}(R(p))$, then.
- 7. Set $\Delta \leftarrow L_{\rho \times}(R(q)) L_{\rho \times}(R(p))$.
- 8. Set $S(p) \leftarrow \max\{S(p), \min_{k=L_{ct}(R(p))}\{\Delta, n_k \Delta\}\}$.
- Let R(p) be the feature point of p after EDT computation.
- Lines 4 and 5 define the SKIZ among multiple contours.

- 1. For each $p \in D_I \setminus S$, do.
- 2. Set $S(p) \leftarrow 0$.
- 3. For each $q \in \mathcal{A}_1(p)$ do.
- 4. If $L_{ct}(R(q)) > L_{ct}(R(p))$, then.
- 5. Set $S(p) \leftarrow +\infty$.
- 6. Else if $L_{ct}(R(q)) = L_{ct}(R(p))$, then.
- 7. Set $\Delta \leftarrow L_{px}(R(q)) L_{px}(R(p))$.
- 8. Set $S(p) \leftarrow \max\{S(p), \min_{k=L_{ct}(R(p))}\{\Delta, n_k \Delta\}\}$.
- Let R(p) be the feature point of p after EDT computation.
- Lines 4 and 5 define the SKIZ among multiple contours.
- Lines 7 and 8 define the importance S(p) based on the geodesic length between feature points of p and its 4-adjacents q.

By thresholding, $S(p) \ge T \ \forall p \in D_I$, at a given scale value T > 0, one obtains an one-pixel-wide and connected skeleton. Higher is T, more simplified are the skeletons.



Note that connected skeletons are guaranteed only for $\mathcal{A}_{\sqrt{2}}$ in the EDT algorithm.

By thresholding, $S(p) \ge T \ \forall p \in D_I$, at a given scale value T > 0, one obtains an one-pixel-wide and connected skeleton. Higher is T, more simplified are the skeletons.



Note that connected skeletons are guaranteed only for $\mathcal{A}_{\sqrt{2}}$ in the EDT algorithm.

By thresholding, $S(p) \ge T \ \forall p \in D_I$, at a given scale value T > 0, one obtains an one-pixel-wide and connected skeleton. Higher is T, more simplified are the skeletons.



Note that connected skeletons are guaranteed only for $\mathcal{A}_{\sqrt{2}}$ in the EDT algorithm.

By thresholding, $S(p) \ge T \ \forall p \in D_I$, at a given scale value T > 0, one obtains an one-pixel-wide and connected skeleton. Higher is T, more simplified are the skeletons.



Note that connected skeletons are guaranteed only for $\mathcal{A}_{\sqrt{2}}$ in the EDT algorithm.

By thresholding, $S(p) \ge T \ \forall p \in D_I$, at a given scale value T > 0, one obtains an one-pixel-wide and connected skeleton. Higher is T, more simplified are the skeletons.



Note that connected skeletons are guaranteed only for $\mathcal{A}_{\sqrt{2}}$ in the EDT algorithm.

By thresholding, $S(p) \ge T \ \forall p \in D_I$, at a given scale value T > 0, one obtains an one-pixel-wide and connected skeleton. Higher is T, more simplified are the skeletons.



Note that connected skeletons are guaranteed only for $\mathcal{A}_{\sqrt{2}}$ in the EDT algorithm.

By thresholding, $S(p) \ge T \ \forall p \in D_I$, at a given scale value T > 0, one obtains an one-pixel-wide and connected skeleton. Higher is T, more simplified are the skeletons.

Note that connected skeletons are guaranteed only for $\mathcal{A}_{\sqrt{2}}$ in the EDT algorithm.

An example with multiple contours.



Figure from [2].

* 3 > < 3</p>

3D surface skeletons can be obtained by the direct extension of the geodesic length on surfaces as importance measure [2].



Figure from [2].

3D surface skeletons can be obtained by the direct extension of the geodesic length on surfaces as importance measure [2].



Figure from [2].



Terminal points of the internal and external skeletons can be directly related to convex and concave salience points on the contour, respectively [3].



Terminal points of the internal and external skeletons can be directly related to convex and concave salience points on the contour, respectively [3].

Let $\hat{Sk} = (D_I, Sk)$ be a binary image, where Sk(p) = 1 when $p \in D_I$ is a skeleton point and Sk(p) = 0 otherwise. Terminal points of the skeleton can be defined as pixels $p \in D_I$ with exactly one $q \in A_{\sqrt{2}}(p) \setminus \{p\}$ such that Sk(p) = Sk(q) = 1.



Such a definition might fail if exists $q_1, q_2 \in \mathcal{A}_{\sqrt{2}}(p) \setminus \{p\}$, such that $Sk(p) = Sk(q_1) = Sk(q_2) = 1$, $q_1 \neq q_2$, and $(q_1, q_2) \in \mathcal{A}_1$.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

An alternative is to slightly change the scale threshold used to obtain the skeleton. Another alternative is to compute the influence zones of the skeleton points within a small distance r (e.g., 10) to it.



The area A and aperture angle θ of the influence zones are higher for terminal points. By measuring $A = \frac{\theta r^2}{2}$, it is safe to select points with θ above a threshold (e.g., $\theta > 70^{\circ}$).

Now, to determine which salience point *a* on a contour of perimeter *n* corresponds to a terminal point *c* of the skeleton, we must determine if the root R(c) = b or R(c) = d.



Now, to determine which salience point *a* on a contour of perimeter *n* corresponds to a terminal point *c* of the skeleton, we must determine if the root R(c) = b or R(c) = d.



Let $q \in A_1(c)$ be the pixel used to set S(c) in the multiscale skeletonization algorithm. Then, either

(1)
$$R(q) = d$$
 and $R(c) = b$, o
(2) $R(q) = b$ and $R(c) = d$.

- For clockwise-labeled contour pixels,
 - (1) If R(c) = b, then a is the point with $L_{px}(a)$ obtained from $L_{px}(b) \frac{S(c)}{2}$.
 - (2) If R(c) = d, then a is the point with $L_{px}(a)$ obtained from $L_{px}(d) + \frac{S(c)}{2}$.

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト ヘヨト

э

- For clockwise-labeled contour pixels,
 - (1) If R(c) = b, then a is the point with $L_{px}(a)$ obtained from $L_{px}(b) \frac{S(c)}{2}$.
 - (2) If R(c) = d, then a is the point with $L_{px}(a)$ obtained from $L_{px}(d) + \frac{S(c)}{2}$.
- For Δ = L_{px}(q) − L_{px}(c), case (1) occurs when Δ ≥ n − Δ, and case (2) occurs otherwise.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- For clockwise-labeled contour pixels,
 - (1) If R(c) = b, then a is the point with $L_{px}(a)$ obtained from $L_{px}(b) \frac{S(c)}{2}$.
 - (2) If R(c) = d, then a is the point with $L_{px}(a)$ obtained from $L_{px}(d) + \frac{S(c)}{2}$.
- For Δ = L_{px}(q) − L_{px}(c), case (1) occurs when Δ ≥ n − Δ, and case (2) occurs otherwise.
- We use a sign $s \in \{-1, 1\}$ to indicate (1) and (2), and find a by computing $\delta \leftarrow L_{px}(R(c)) + s \frac{S(c)}{2}$ as the point with

•
$$L_{px}(a) = \delta$$
, when $\delta \in [1, n]$,

- $L_{px}(a) = \delta n$, when $\delta > n$, and
- $L_{px}(a) = \delta + n$, when $\delta \leq 0$.



Once salience points on the contour are determined, their influence zones on the contour define segments, which have influence zones using a small distance (e.g., 10) higher outside than inside the shape when they are convex, and the other way around when they are concave.



Once salience points on the contour are determined, their influence zones on the contour define segments, which have influence zones using a small distance (e.g., 10) higher outside than inside the shape when they are convex, and the other way around when they are concave.

• • = • • = •



Once salience points on the contour are determined, their influence zones on the contour define segments, which have influence zones using a small distance (e.g., 10) higher outside than inside the shape when they are convex, and the other way around when they are concave.

伺 ト イヨ ト イヨト

[1] A.X. Falcão, L.F. Costa, and B.S. Cunha.

Multiscale skeletons by image foresting transform and its application to neuromorphometry.

Pattern Recognition, 35(7):1571 – 1582, 2002.

[2] A.X. Falcão, C. Feng, J. Kustra, and A.C. Telea.

Chapter 2 - multiscale 2d medial axes and 3d surface skeletons by the image foresting transform.

In P.K. Saha, G. Borgefors, and G.S. di Baja, editors, *Skeletonization*, pages 43 – 70. Academic Press, 2017.

[3] R. da S. Torres and A.X. Falcão.

Contour salience descriptors for effective image retrieval and analysis.

Image and Vision Computing, 25(1):3 - 13, 2007.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日